

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,914	(08/08/2001	Satoshi Iitaka	010878 8996		
23850	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRO	•	ATZ, QUINTOS	MILLER, CARL STUART			
SUITE 1000	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20006	3747			

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. ^ ^			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1///			
	09/923,914	IITAKA ET AL.	ho c			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·			
	Carl S. Miller	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perional for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the mail that the part of the part of the mail that the part of the part of the mail that the part of the pa	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may apply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	April 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,11 and 13-18</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,10,11 and 13-18</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	<u>.</u>	- ,,	• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			_			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	n received in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		nt received				
dee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	scor are ceranea copies no	n receiveu.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
 Rotice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	🗂	f Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/923,914

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takano in view of Moriya. Takano teaches all of the limitations of claim 1 except the camshaft <u>holder</u> and the cylinder head are not separate elements. Instead, the cylinder head <u>contains</u> the holder element.

Moriya also teaches a camhaft holder which has a fuel pump mounted on one end, but the holder element and the cylinder head are separate parts.

It would have been obvious to make the holder part of the head of Takano into a separate element because this would have allowed for a replacement of the bearing of the holder without requiring the removal of the entire head, thus representing good engineering practice.

Claim 2 – 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5-8, 10-11 and 13-18 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed April 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, applicant's arguments regarding all of the claims except Claim 1 have been found to be convincing in light of the more specific language used regarding the reinforcing rib. With regard to Claim 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/923,914

Art Unit: 3747

however, the element (7) of Moriya does appear to link the bearings (6) of the holding device together as required by this broad claim.

Since Claims 2-3 were not properly addressed in the previous office action this action has been made non-final.

Primary Examiner